

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BRADBURY
TUESDAY, JUNE 21, 2011**

- CALL TO ORDER:** The meeting was called to order by Mayor Lewis at 7:05 PM.
- ROLL CALL**
- PRESENT: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat
- Excused: Councilmember Hale
- STAFF: City Manager Keith, Deputy City Engineer Gilbertson, City Attorney Reisman, City Planner Meyer, City Clerk Saldana and Management Analyst Petsas
- MOTION TO EXCUSE ABSENCE OF COUNCILMEMBER HALE** Councilmember Barakat made a motion to excuse Councilmember Hale from the meeting. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which carried unanimously.
- APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**
- Staff requested Item D from the Consent Calendar and be presented at the July City Council meeting.
- Councilmember Barakat made a motion to approve the agenda as amended, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop seconded the motion which was carried by the following roll call vote:
- AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat
NOES: None
ABSENT: Councilmember Hale
- DISCLOSURE OF ITEMS REQUIRED BY GOV. CODE SECTION 1090 & 81000 ET SEQ.**
- In compliance with the California Political Reform Act, each City Council Member has the responsibility to disclose direct or indirect potential for a personal financial impact as a result of participation in the decision making process concerning agenda items.
- City Attorney Reisman reported that he was not aware of any conflicts of interest with any of the items on the agenda.
- PUBLIC COMMENT**
- Daniel Garcia, San Gabriel Valley Disability Advocate, presented information regarding the City's need for ADA compliance on trails and other pathways. He also mentioned several classes that are scheduled for computer training for disabled individuals.
- CONSENT CALENDAR**
- All items on the Consent Calendar are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by one motion unless a Council Member request otherwise, in which case the item will be removed and considered by separate action. All Resolutions and Ordinances for Second Reading on the Consent Calendar are deemed to be "to waive further reading and adopt."
- A. Minutes - Regular City Council Meeting of May 17, 2011
 - B. Financial Statement for the month of May 2011
 - C. Resolution No. 11-21: Demands & Warrants for June 2011
 - D. Final Acceptance- Mount Olive Drive and Lemon Avenue Resurfacing Project

- E. Resolution No. 11-27: Declaring a Mandatory Unpaid Furlough Plan for City Employees During Fiscal Year 2011-2012 and Approving a General Furlough Closure Schedule for City Offices
- F. Appointment of Members to Vacant and Alternate Emergency Response Committee Seats
- G. Resolution No. 11-23: Approval of the Gann Appropriation Limit
- H. Architectural Review Application No. AR 08-227 Release of Performance Bond 135 Mount Olive Drive
- I. Architectural Review Application No. AR 03-150 Release of Performance Bond 2221 Oak Shade Road
- J. Resolution No. 11-25: Approving a Grant Application for the Proposition 84 Program for Bradbury Civic Center Park
- K. Resolution No. 11-28: Approving the allocation of remaining COPS funds

DISCUSSION

Mayor Lewis had a question regarding the staff report on Item J, the Prop 84 Grant, and the costs associated, specifically the sentence stating "offsetting costs in excess of \$100,000". He inquired as to if that means the grant might cover costs in excess of \$100,000 or the grant needs to be at least \$100,000. City Manager Keith stated that to apply for the grant the project needs to be in excess of \$100,000, which the Civic Center park is when including everything.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop stated that on page 6 of the Minutes; the phrase should read that the project can't comply with the A-5 zone, not an A-2 zone. Also, Councilmember Hale interviewed for the DUSD bond oversight committee, he is not actually on the Committee.

**MOTION TO APPROVE
CONSENT CALENDAR**

Councilmember Barakat moved to approve the Consent Calendar as amended. Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop seconded the motion, which was carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

**ITEM #2: PUBLIC HEARING-
ZONE CODE AMENDMENT NO.
ZCA 10-17 REGULATION OF OFF
STREET PARKING STORAGE OF
RECREATIONAL VEHICLES**

The Bradbury City Council determined that off-street parking; and storage of and equipment in required setbacks have become a significant property maintenance issue. Existing regulations are inadequate and they should be enhanced in order to protect the public health and welfare and preserve the character of the City.

The City Council directed the Planning Commission to examine existing provisions of the Bradbury Zone Code regarding the regulation of the parking and storage of vehicles and equipment in the required residential setbacks. After review of the City's regulations and the regulations adopted by other municipalities, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 11-211.PC recommending that the City Council amend the City's Zone Code regarding the parking and storage of vehicles and equipment in the required setback areas in all zones.

DISCUSSION

Councilmember Barakat stated he is concerned about parking in a back yard, specifically the fact that it is permissible to park a car where there are permeable surfaces and oils and other liquids can run-off into the City's stormdrains. In that case, the City should require wherever a

vehicle parks, to place a concrete pad.

City Manager Keith stated that the Planning Commission had considered that idea, but by addressing one problem, there would be another problem for horses and agricultural properties that have a lot of unpaved surfaces for farm equipment. The issue that the Planning Commission was dealing with and received direction from the City Council on was the issue of Visual Blight and that the problem areas are those in smaller lots.

Councilmember Pycz asked how these recommendations address the unique properties where the front yard is really the back yard area and vice versa. City Planner Meyer stated that the narrowest portion of the yard that fronts a public or private street is typically considered a front yard. There are also instances where there exists double frontage lots in which the Planning Commission must determine what the front or rear yard is on those properties.

Councilmember Pycz continued by saying with RVs and other vehicles within the large lots, no matter the location you will still be able to see it even if it is not parked within the setback area. City Planner Meyer stated that is correct and is why the Planning Commission is addressing the problem through the screening of vehicles.

City Planner Meyer stated that the A-2 Zone and the A-5 Zone are primarily agricultural in nature and they have large vehicles that will still be visible. Every time the Planning Commission tried to address an issue of oversized vehicles, other issues would arise.

Councilmember Barakat asked then if the proposed regulations would only apply then to 'flantlanders'. City Planner Meyer stated the regulations obtain to the overall City in an effort to protect the character of the community.

Mayor Lewis asked if in the setback lots we can park vehicles, but not store them there. City Planner Meyer stated that is correct. Mayor Lewis continued by saying the problem is we still have people storing in the setback, but we just don't enforce it.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED

Mayor Lewis opened up the Public Hearing and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

There being no public comment, Mayor Lewis declared the public hearing closed.

DISCUSSION

Mayor Lewis recommended that the code be amended to take out the word 'consecutive' so that it is seven days total in a calendar year.

Councilmember Barakat stated that the seven days isn't realistic for parking because the vehicles have to be loaded and unloaded so that would leave two weekends per year for the person to park them.

Mayor Lewis suggested leaving out the phrase consecutive and change the seven to 14 days . Councilmember Barakat agreed that would be a

reasonable amount.

City Planner Meyer reminded the City Council that the approval for this item is in two parts: 1st, adoption of a Categorical Exemption and 2nd, adoption of the ordinance.

**MOTION TO APPROVE
CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to adopt a Categorical Exemption from the provisions of CEQA for the proposed Code amendment. Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: None.

Motion passed 4-0-1

**MOTION TO APPROVE
RESOLUTION**

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 11-211. PC amending the City's Zone Code regarding the parking and storage of vehicles by changing the 7 day maximum to 14 days and dropping the phrase 'consecutive' so as to allow for 14 days of parking in one calendar year with no more than 7 days of consecutive days of parking. Councilmember Barakat seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: None.

Motion passed 4-0-1

Councilmember Barakat recused himself from the next item

**ITEM #3: DISCUSSION-CIVIC
CENTER WROUGHT-IRON
FENCE BIDS**

The City Council directed staff to prepare fence bids that included an electrically operated gate at the entrance to the Civic Center to restrict vehicular access and a five-foot high wrought-iron fence along the street frontage. The City Council directed at their last meeting for staff to solicit bids for the installation of the fence and gate and staff has those bids available for review.

DISCUSSION

City Planner Meyer stated that the City sent out nine bid packets and received three bids, however between the closing date and this meeting, the lowest bidder has pulled out and Western Fence Company is now the lowest apparent bidder. The City has approximately \$13,800 remaining in the Civic Center contingency account.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis asked if the fence was designed to be 5 feet or 6 feet in height. City Planner Meyer stated it is 5 feet in height, raised 6 inches off the ground with the fence top of six inches for a total height of 6 feet.

He continued by asking how far back it will be front the street. City

Planner Meyer stated it will be 8 feet back. City Planner Meyer added that there will be two items that will be on the 'outside' of the fence, the Fire Control valves and the Cal Am Water Pump.

Councilmember Pycz asked about placing the columns back in the bid design, he is uncomfortable with the bids in price, especially since they don't include the columns and the very few bids that were received.

City Planner Meyer stated that if the City Council knows of companies doing iron work, to pass the information to him and he will contact them. City Planner Meyer stated that each column will cost an additional \$700-\$1,000 to be constructed.

Mayor Lewis suggested that we have time with the project so we can consider reinstating the columns. Mayor Lewis continued by stating that he would like to keep the current layout and add 3 or 4 columns.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPENED

Mayor Lewis opened up the meeting for Public Comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard.

PUBLIC COMMENT

City Manager Keith read a statement into the record by Eric Janssens & Alissa Ting of 528 Winston avenue in support of the fence as proposed.

Steve Collins, 612 Winston Avenue, presented a few more signatures in support of the wrought iron fence and asked if the City Council could make a motion for supporting the current design.

PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED

There being no more public comment, Mayor Lewis declared the public hearing closed.

MOTION TO REJECT ALL BIDS

Mayor Lewis made a motion to reject all bids and have City staff come back at the next meeting with a design that includes four columns in the fence layout and veneer on the existing two columns. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmember Pycz

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: Councilmember Barakat

Motion passed 3-0-1-1

Councilmember Barakat rejoined the meeting

**ITEM #4: DISCUSSION-
PLACEMENT OF TRASH
RECEPTACLES ALONG THE
MIDDLE AND UPPER PORTIONS
OF MOUNT OLIVE DRIVE**

At the May 17, 2011 City Council meeting staff presented to the City Council the possibility of placing pet waste stations along the Mount Olive Drive Pathway now that the pathway project is completed. The City Council provided direction to staff to place one station at the southwest corner of Mount Olive Drive and Gardi Street and come back to the City Council with possible locations of additional stations. The three potential locations include the intersection of Mount Olive Lane and Drive near the new planter; near the traffic calming islands; or near the corner of Mount Olive Drive and Woodlyn Lane.

DISCUSSION

City Manager Keith in conducting research after the distribution of the Council packets discovered one additional location for the bins to be

placed, attached to the Mount Olive Drive/Lane sign. It would allow for the container to be attached directly to the pole without having to contract for the installation.

Councilmember Barakat offered to install the bin at the proposed location for free.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop said there are other areas that are placed up against other landscaping.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPENED

Mayor Lewis opened the discussion for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED

There being no public testimony, Mayor Lewis declared the public comment closed.

MOTION TO DIRECT STAFF

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop made a motion to direct staff to work with Councilmember Barakat to install the bin to the sign at the Mount Olive Drive/Lane Intersection. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 4-0-1

ITEM #5: DISCUSSION- ANNUAL RATE ADJUSTMENT FOR RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AND RECYCLING FRANCHISE AGREEMENT

The City of Bradbury contracts with Burrtec Waste Services for solid waste collection and recycling. Pursuant to Section 10.10 of the Franchise Agreement each subsequent July 1 (after July 1, 1999) the rate for each category of service shall be subject to upward or downward adjustment. Customer rates are comprised of the following categories: contractor service cost, disposal cost, recycling processing, green waste processing cost and manure waste processing cost.

DISCUSSION

Councilmember Barakat asked what the percentage of increase being requested tonight was. City Manager Keith stated Burrtec is requesting about a 4% increase.

Mayor Lewis wanted to confirm that this rate increase is not to make up for costs since the last rate increase. Richard Nino, Government Affairs Manager for Burrtec, noted that this rate increase is not 'retroactive' since the last rate increase in 2009; the increase is based on an increase from last fiscal year as laid out in the contract.

Mayor Lewis asked Mr. Nino what the service level was for the Woodlyn Lane area. Mr. Nino stated the service for the area is through 90 gallon barrels, with an unlimited number of barrels. The residents are not supposed to have 'blue bin' service but two residents on the Lane currently receive that service.

Mayor Lewis asked if it is possible to have 'blue bin' service for all of the homes on Woodlyn. Mr. Nino stated that it is possible, but it would cost more due to the layout of Woodlyn Lane is not conducive for bringing an automated truck to the street and each bin would have to be emptied manually.

Councilmember Pycz inquired as to where recyclables are hauled. Mr. Nino stated that they are taken to the Allan Company in Baldwin Park which then sorts and recovers what they can.

Councilmember Barakat raised some concerns on the drivers who pick up bins on Braewood Drive. Due to the layout of the street the drivers are required to do a 3 or 4 point turn. However, the drivers before moving turn the wheel and subsequently make a large gash in the pavement. Councilmember Barakat suggested that the drivers have either make forward or backward motion before turning the wheel to prevent the marks on the road.

Mr. Nino stated that he was aware of the situation and his field managers will be observing the drivers at their next pick-up to see what is going on and instruct them to move the vehicle to minimize road damage.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPENED

Mayor Lewis opened for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED

There being no public testimony, Mayor Lewis declared the public comment closed.

**MOTION TO PROVIDE
DIRECTION TO STAFF**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to approve the refuse collection and recycling rates set forth in the charts provided to become effective July 1, 2011. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop

Motion passed 3-0-1-1

**ITEM #6: DISCUSSION-
ADOPTION OF BUDGET FOR
FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012**

The Budget for 2011-2012 maintains the City's core services from the previous fiscal year, although there continue to be reductions in every area of the City. Despite these significant expenditure reductions, the budget simply could not meet revenues, without severely changing the City's quality of services to residents.

Unfortunately, due to the continuing economic crisis, continuing decline in revenues, and increased cost of State unfunded mandates, Bradbury is again using reserves to cover an ongoing operational shortfall. Further, any State takeaways of property taxes will directly affect operations in Bradbury dramatically.

DISCUSSION

Mayor Lewis commented that from when the City Council first reviewed the budget in April to now, the special project costs are different and the overall deficit dropped and the costs for the special costs have been reduced. City Manager Keith stated that some of the special projects have now been budgeted into their respective category.

City Manager Keith walked through a comparison of the two with the City Council and they determined that currently, there has been a surplus of funds that has lowered the overall deficit; the surplus of funds is referred to as 'unallocated reserves'. City Manager Keith warned that this surplus will in fact be reduced by the bills that are due for June 2011 and a better judge of if the City has a surplus or not will be looking at the final financial statements for 2010-2011 FY that will be made available at the July City Council meeting.

Mayor Lewis stated that as of the adoption of this budget then, the deficit is \$118,000. Councilmember Pycz remarked that if we are at a deficit of \$118,000 now, that will grow over time next fiscal year. A few councilmembers responded that because of this, the next item on the agenda is up for discussion.

Councilmember Barakat asked what the reserve level is for the City finances. City Manager Keith stated that there is approximately \$800,000 in general reserves, \$200,000 in infrastructure reserves and approximately \$100,000 in unallocated reserves.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPENED

Mayor Lewis opened the discussion for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward.

PUBLIC COMMENT

None

PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED

There being no public testimony, Mayor Lewis declared the public comment closed.

**MOTION TO APPROVE
RESOLUTION NO. 11-26
APPROVING THE 2011-2012
ANNUAL BUDGET**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 11-26 approving the City of Bradbury's Annual Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-2012. Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Lewis, Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop, Councilmembers Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: Councilmember Hale

ABSTAIN: None

Motion passed 4-0-1

**ITEM #7: DISCUSSION FISCAL
CHALLENGES PART FOUR-
DISCUSSION OF THE
CONSIDERATION OF A UTILITY
USERS TAX**

At the March 15, 2011 meeting the City Council reviewed the current status of the City's short and long-term budget prospects and reviewed some alternative ways of addressing on-going fiscal needs. At the April 19th meeting, the City Council reviewed estimates for the upcoming FY 2011-2012 budget and forecast projections for 2013-2014. At the May 17th meeting the City Council asked to review a sample UUT Ordinance. The City Council for this meeting will review the potential placement of a UUT Ballot Measure on the April 12, 2012 ballot to fund general City operations.

More than 150 cities across California have enacted a Utility Users Tax. A UUT may be passed by a City on the consumption of Utility services of which, the City Council has the authority to determine which once the tax will be placed on. UUTs range from 2% to 12%. A UUT that is intended to be used for General City operations only requires a 50 plus one majority of those voting during the next regularly scheduled election for approval. As part of the process to put the UUT on the ballot, the City Council with a 4/5ths approval must identify both an urgent need for additional revenue and a preferred means of obtaining that revenue.

DISCUSSION

City Manager Keith added that it might be advantageous to add to the ballot language the phrase "emerging technologies" to cover any new form of technology for the various utilities to ensure they are covered under the UUT.

Councilmember Barakat has concerns with hiring a consultant for the item and that it would cost so much and would like to set a maximum amount.

Mayor-Pro Tem Lathrop asked if there is a fixed fee for creating a poll. City Manager Keith stated you could, but it would be on the range of \$10,000 to \$15,000.

City Manager Keith stated that the costs for this election will be a minimal addition because of the costs already set aside for the 3 districts up for election.

Councilmember Barakat said if they have enough meetings for their districts the word should get out enough for the residents to hear about the issue. He added that every councilmember should meet with their district.

City Attorney Reisman reminded the City Council that Staff can't do anything on behalf of the UUT or the City Council acting in their official capacity as Councilmembers once the Resolution for the UUT has been adopted sometime in November.

Councilmember Pycz asked if the City should consider hiring a consultant. Mayor Lewis said we should wait after the two community meetings to see what the next steps should be on hiring a consultant.

City Manager Keith stated that she has created a Frequently Asked Questions sheet and it will be reviewed by the City Attorney for compliance with election rules.

City Manager Keith continued by saying the final deadline for the Resolution to be adopted to place the item on the ballot is in December.

Councilmember Barakat stated that he would like the issue of the UUT to be discussed in the Newsletter, and maybe focus solely on the UUT in the next issue.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop asked why on the FAQ sheet there is discussion of annexing Royal Oaks Manor if this is an old issue. City Manager Keith stated that the question was raised by several individuals

at the Planning Commission/City Council joint retreat.

Councilmember Pycz requested to add a question to the FAQ sheet that will help residents figure out how much the UUT will cost their household. Councilmember Barakat added that that FAQ should include explaining how a UUT actually works.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop asked if the City Council can lower the rate over time on a specific utility rather than just overall lowering of rates. City Manager Keith stated that if the language is added to the measure to allow for that, then it can be done. City Manager Keith suggested that before determining the details of how the tax will work, the City Council might want to wait until after the community meetings to gauge overall favor of a UUT.

Councilmember Barakat stated that the Mosquito and Vector control district has a rate that varies from \$0 to \$20 a year depending on expensed and he asked if the UUT is a similar program. City Attorney Reisman stated that a UUT is a different ordinance, but it is possible to do something similar.

Mayor Lewis said that the City Council needs to figure out a based percentage rate and that he recommends it is based on the projected budget for 2013-2014 fiscal year and then adjust down from there as needed. Mayor Pro-Tem Lathrop stated that the UUT needs a sunset clause.

City Attorney Reisman stated that a 'sunset clause' is dangerous in that the tax could very well not be continued when the funds are needed the most.

Mayor Lewis asked is if they set at 7% and one year they lower it to 4% and then the next year, it needs to be raised to 7%, is that possible to do. City Manager Keith and City Attorney Reisman both stated it is permissible as long as the City Council doesn't raise it higher than the initial percentage approved by voters.

A majority of councilmembers requested that for the FAQ sheet, and for the next meeting, a list of surrounding cities that have a UUT and parcel tax be prepared.

Councilmember Pycz suggested that one of the questions on the FAQ should talk about what would happen to the City of the UUT ballot measure fails. City Manager Keith stated that type of questions may appear as biased and trying to direct people towards approval of the UUT. City Manager Keith continued by stating a more neutral way of phrasing the same question may be, 'what happens if the City can't meet its financial obligations'.

Mayor Lewis asked if there are exemptions for low-income residents for the UUT. City Manager Keith stated that there are exemptions and they are based on the definitions of 'very low' and 'low' for the Community Development Block Grant program. In brief research, there are few people who qualify, but it is still an option for the City Council to provide.

PUBLIC COMMENT OPEN	Mayor Lewis opened the discussion for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward.
PUBLIC COMMENT	None
PUBLIC COMMENT CLOSED	There being no public testimony, Mayor Lewis declared the public comment closed.
DIRECTION TO STAFF	Following the discussion, Mayor Lewis directed staff to prepare a sheet of surrounding cities and their parcel taxes and UUTs, add the questions raised during tonight's discussion to the FAQ sheet as well as a slide presentation on the UUT to share at the City Council/Planning Commission joint retreat as well as at the community meetings for the current budget situation to be discussed. Also, bring to the next meeting the CDBG definitions of Low and Very Low to determine if it would be an appropriate exemption to add to the Ordinance.
MATTERS FROM THE CITY MANAGER	<p>The City Attorney will be unavailable from June 28th to July 19th. He will be back for the next City Council meeting.</p> <p>The next furlough day as approved by tonight's resolution is July 1st.</p> <p>The City Manager will be on vacation from July 16th to the 23rd, but will be here for the meeting.</p> <p>At the July City Council meeting, the Council will be presented with the first draft of the new councilmanic districts based on the surveys sent out to residents.</p>
MATTERS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL	
MAYOR LEWIS	Nothing to report.
MAYOR PRO-TEM LATHROP	The SGVCOG is looking at hiring a contracts/grants manager for better accountability of funds in light of the recent Gold Line issues.
COUNCILMEMBER HALE	Nothing to report
COUNCILMAN PYCZ	Nothing to report.
COUNCILMEMBER BARAKAT	<p>West Nile Virus is starting to show up now in the San Gabriel region. The district is trying to get the City of Baldwin Park to join, however they are currently refusing even though they receive indirect benefits from the neighboring cities who are members of the Vector Control district.</p> <p>The overall Sanitation rates for LA County are decreasing due to upcoming deadlines. The Hacienda Landfill is on a schedule to close based on capacity, while the Puente Hills Landfill is closing on a set date whether or not it is full. To encourage haulers to use Puente Hills, the rates for that facility have been lowered to encourage usage.</p>
ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS	None

CLOSED SESSION

Mayor Lewis postponed the Closed session to the next regularly scheduled City Council meeting

ADJOURNMENT

At 9:40 pm Mayor Lewis adjourned to the meeting to a Community Workshop to be held on June 30, 2011 at 6:30 pm at the Bradbury Civic Center.

MAYOR – CITY OF BRADBURY

ATTEST: _____
CITY CLERK – CITY OF BRADBURY