

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BRADBURY, HELD ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 15, 2011,
AT 7:00 PM IN THE STAFF LOUNGE AT ROYAL OAKS ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
2499 ROYAL OAKS DRIVE, BRADBURY, CA 91008**

CALL TO ORDER: The meeting of the City Council of the City of Bradbury was called to order by Mayor Hale at 7:02 PM.

ROLL CALL: PRESENT: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat

ABSENT: None

STAFF: City Manager Keith, City Attorney Reisman, Deputy City Engineer Gilbertson, City Clerk Saldana and Management Analyst Petsas

**APPROVAL OF THE
AGENDA:**

City Manager Keith reported that since the publication of the agenda, the City had been made aware of a lawsuit between the National Resources Defense Council and the County of Los Angeles and is requesting that the City Council should consider adding an item to the agenda for this. This item according to the City Attorney requires a 4/5 vote to be added to the agenda.

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to add the item to the agenda as 1B, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis seconded the motion which was carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

**DISCLOSURE OF ITEMS
REQUIRED BY GOV. CODE
SECTION 1090 & 81000
ET SEQ.:**

In compliance with the California Political Reform Act, each City Council Member has the responsibility to disclose direct or indirect potential for a personal financial impact as a result of participation in the decision making process concerning agenda items.

City Attorney Reisman reported that he was not aware of any conflicts of interest with any of the items on the agenda.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

CONSENT CALENDAR: All items on the Consent Calendar are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by one motion unless a Council Member request otherwise, in which case the item will be removed and considered by separate action. All Resolutions and Ordinances for Second Reading on the Consent Calendar are deemed to be "to waive further reading and adopt."

- A. Minutes - Regular City Council Meeting of January 18, 2011
- B. Financial Statement for the month of January 2011
- C. Resolution No. 11-02: Demands & Warrants for February 2011
- D. Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Annual Financial Audit Report
- E. Appointment of Alternate Member to Emergency Response Committee Seat – District 4
- F. Approval of a Quitclaim Existing Water Easement Reservation on Civic Center Property
- G. Approval to Release Performance Bonds for 2233 Oak Shade Road
- H. Second Reading of Ordinance No. 319: An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Bradbury Adopting by Reference, Pursuant to Government Code Section 50022.2 and 50022.99 and Health and Safety Code Sections 17958 and 17958.7, the Los Angeles County Versions of the 2010 California Building and Safety Code (Title 26, Los Angeles County Building Code), the 2010 California Electrical Code (Title 27, Los Angeles County Electrical Code), the 2010 California Plumbing Code (Title 28, Los Angeles County Plumbing Code), the 2010 California Mechanical Code (Title 29, Los Angeles County Mechanical Code), the 2011 Los Angeles County Fire Code (Title 32), the 2010 California Residential Code (Title 30, Los Angeles County Residential Code), the 2010 California Green Building Standards Code (Title 31), and the Los Angeles County Health Code, adopting local amendments thereto and repealing Urgency Ordinance No. 318U

DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Lathrop stated that the motion for the approval of the Southern Portion of the Mount Olive Trail included directing staff to meet with the homeowners along the trail to determine a suitable sidewalk color.

Councilmember Lathrop had several questions regarding item D, the Annual Financial Audit Report, specifically why there isn't a cap on sick leave and vacation accrual mentioned. The auditors, Beranek & Company were in the audience and explained that the items were inadvertently left out and is just standard language inserted into the report.

City Manager Keith reported that the maximum cap on sick leave is 15 days and the maximum accrual for vacation days is 30.

**MOTION TO APPROVE
CONSENT CALENDAR:**

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis moved to approve the Consent Calendar as amended. Councilmember Barakat seconded the motion, which was carried by the following roll call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ITEM #1B: DISCUSSION - EXTENSION OF TOLLING AGREEMENT BETWEEN LA COUNTY AND CITY OF BRADBURY RELATING TO NRDC V COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NO. 08-1467 AHM (PLAX)

City Manager Keith reported that this item is to authorize the City Manager to sign the tolling agreement with Los Angeles County in regards to the existing litigation taking place regarding Water Quality. The issue is still continuing and staff only found out about this item the day before the City Council meeting.

MOTION TO APPROVE:

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to extend the tolling agreement with Los Angeles County. Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop Pycz and Barakat.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

ITEM #2: PUBLIC HEARING-APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION 11-04 BURRTEC WASTE SERVICES CONTRACT- AMENDMENT NO. 3 TO SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES AGREEMENT AND ESTABLISHMENT OF RELATED FEES FOR CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION PROCESSING

This is the third amendment to the Contract which goes back to 1997, when Burrtec Waste Services began to provide refuse collection and recycling services. The current contract, amended in 2004, will expire in June 2011. This contract amendment will amend the contract to expire June 30, 2018 and includes:

- Forgoing Annual Rate Increase for 2010
- Conversion of existing diesel fleet to new alternative fuel trucks (as required by AQMD)
- New household sharps collection program
- Wildlife Deterrent Barrels
- New E-Waste Collection Program
- New Construction & Demolition Processing
- LARA membership payment

The Construction and Demolition Program is one of the mandated programs under the State's new green building code which requires newly constructed buildings to develop a waste management plan and divert 50% of construction materials generated at the project.

DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Barakat inquired as to how many times the e-waste can be picked up from one's home. Richard Nino, Manager of Public Affairs for Burrtec, responded up to three times a year.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED:

Mayor Hale opened the public hearing and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED:

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public hearing closed.

MOTION TO AMEND

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to approve Resolution 11-06,

CONTRACT

Burrtec Waste Services Contract Amendment No. 3 for Solid Waste Collection Services and Establishment of Related Fees for Construction and Demolition processing. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop Pycz and Barakat.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**ITEM #3: PUBLIC HEARING
ADOPTION OF
RESOLUTION NO. 11-03
COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT BLOCK
GRANT (CDBG)**

Under the Los Angeles County Community Development Commission's CDBG Program, cities may exchange CDBG funds with other interested cities if funds cannot be expended for an eligible CDBG program activity. CDBG funds may be exchanged for general fund or other types of revenue such as redevelopment funds or gas tax monies. CDBG funds are typically exchanged at a discount because of the regulatory burden assumed by the purchaser. The City of Bradbury's unspent allocated balance of \$3,434 in CDBG funds available during the upcoming 2011-2012 CDBG Program Year may be exchanged with another CDBG participating city.

For the 2011-2012 Program Year the City of Bradbury will be exchanging funds with the City of Lawndale. The City of Bradbury's exchange rate will be \$0.70 on the dollar which will result in a return of approximately \$2,403.80 in General Fund dollars.

DISCUSSION:

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis stated that the City is receiving a great exchange rate at \$0.70 on the dollar. Councilmember Barakat wanted to confirm that we can't recoup administrative cost from the funds before exchanging them because we aren't implementing the CDBG funds. City Manager Keith stated that is correct.

**PUBLIC HEARING
OPENED:**

Mayor Hale opened the Public Hearing and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

**PUBLIC HEARING
CLOSED:**

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public hearing closed.

**MOTION TO ADOPT
RESOLUTION NO. 11-03**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 11-03 approving the exchange of the City's FY 2011-2012 CDBG Program Year allocation of \$3,434 for unrestricted funds and authorize the City Manager to execute the necessary documents to exchange the funds with the City of Lawndale. Mayor Pro- Tem Lewis seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote :

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop Pycz and Barakat.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**ITEM #4: DISCUSSION-
MOUNT OLIVE DRIVE
TRAFFIC CALMING AND
PEDESTRIAN PATHWAY
PHASE II- REQUEST FOR A
CROSSWALK AT MOUNT
OLIVE DRIVE AND MOUNT
OLIVE LANE.**

At the December 21, 2010 meeting the Bradbury City Council awarded Black Rock Construction a contract in the amount of \$188,678 to complete the final phase of the traffic calming and pedestrian pathway projects for Mount Olive Drive. Since that award, staff has received a request to install a crosswalk at the Mount Olive Lane and Mount Olive Drive intersection. A crosswalk in this location was not in the original design.

In order to install a crosswalk at the Mount Olive Drive/Mount Olive Lane intersection, the City would have to provide a "safe haven" at either end of the crosswalk. That would require the installation of ADA curb ramps and a sidewalk landing at either end of the crosswalk. In addition, the City would have to construct a pathway through the proposed landscape median from the ADA ramp to the existing pathway which would in turn significantly reduce the landscaped area of the proposed median. The landscape median would require modifications by RKA's landscape architect for the irrigation, planting and design to install a parkway drain as well to carry the drainage under the sidewalk.

As none of these provisions are included in the existing contract it would be considered a change order and require authorization of the City Council. The estimated cost of the change order is \$7,500.

DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Barakat asked who the person was who requested the crosswalk. City Manager Keith stated that Councilmember Lathrop requested the crosswalk.

Councilmember Barakat asked of staff what their opinion of the crosswalk was. Deputy City Engineer stated that in his professional opinion, the crosswalk wasn't really necessary and took up too much of the landscape planter.

Councilmember Lathrop asked if the crosswalk could be moved either North or South of the intersection. Deputy City Engineer Gilbertson stated that it would be extremely difficult to install a mid-block crosswalk due to the sight requirements, which don't exist on Mount Olive Drive.

Councilmember Barakat stated that the intersection should be left as originally approved back in December. Councilmember Pycz stated that he liked the crosswalk, but didn't like the fact that the landscape median would be reduced in size.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis asked who from the community besides Councilmember Lathrop has requested a crosswalk to be installed. City Manager Keith stated that staff hasn't received any additional requests.

**PUBLIC COMMENT
OPENED:**

Mayor Hale opened for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

**PUBLIC HEARING
CLOSED:**

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public comment closed.

**MOTION TO REJECT
CHANGE ORDER:**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to reject the change order for the installation of a crosswalk at the intersection of Mount Olive Drive and Mount Olive Lane. Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop Pycz and Barakat.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**ITEM #5: DISCUSSION-
LOS ANGELES COUNTY
ABANDONED VEHICLE
ABATEMENT SERVICE
AUTHORITY**

The California Highway Patrol's (CHP) Abandoned Vehicle Abatement (AVA) Program was designed to provide guidance to counties who wish to develop and administer AVA Authorities. The State of California allows for each county to establish an Abandoned Vehicle Service Authority for the purpose of abating inoperable vehicles that create a public nuisance and/or a health or safety hazard in participating jurisdictions.

Although 41 of the 58 Counties in the State have established Service Authorities, the County of Los Angeles has not. Various agencies have attempted to form an authority in the past but the efforts have failed due to a lack of participation.

An organizing committee, composed of personnel from various cities, has been formed in an attempt to implement an AVA program for Los Angeles County. At this time, approximately one half of the 88 cities within the county have expressed an interest in being part of the Service Authority. It is anticipated by the organizing committee that over half of the cities within Los Angeles County will participate.

If approved, every vehicle registered in the County of Los Angeles will be charged a fee on their annual vehicle registration to fund the Service Authority. Private vehicles will be charged one dollar annually and commercial vehicles will be charged two dollars annually. This fee will be charged to all vehicles registered within the County whether or not the City the vehicle is registered in is a participant in the Service Authority.

It is estimated that approximately ten million dollars will be raised annually to fund the Service Authority. Participating cities will be

required to have a staff member represent the City on the Service Authority committee. The Service Authority committee will be responsible for approving reimbursements to participating cities for their costs in removing abandoned vehicles.

The reimbursable costs to participating cities include: staff time, printing costs for notices, costs for necessary equipment, and; even vehicles if justified. In addition to the City being able to recoup its costs for abandoned vehicle abatement, participating cities will have the authority to remove abandoned vehicles (after giving proper notice) without first having to obtain a warrant. This will reduce costs that cities are already paying to have abandoned vehicles removed.

The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors must approve the Service Authority by a majority vote for it to be approved by the State of California.

DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Barakat asked what the definition of 'abandoned' is. City Attorney Reisman stated that there are several elements needed for a vehicle to be determined abandoned, including such things as the owner of vehicle not responding to requests to move the car, whether or not the car is operable, how the vehicle is registered with the state (operable versus non-operable).

Councilmember Pycz asked if this applies to private property as well to public property. Management Analyst Petsas responded that it does apply to private property as well.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis asked if there really was a problem in the City with abandoned vehicles. City Manager Keith said it is an issue the City has been dealing with, both inside the gates and outside as well.

Councilmember Lathrop stated that he was against charging residents additional tax money and asked if it was possible to not join the authority now, but if the Board of Supervisors approves the authority then join at that time. Management Analyst Petsas stated that it is possible to join at a later time if the AVASA is approved by the Board.

**PUBLIC COMMENT
OPENED:**

Mayor Hale opened for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

**PUBLIC HEARING
CLOSED:**

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public comment period closed.

**MOTION TO SEND LETTER
RECOMMENDING TO NOT
APPROVE AVASA**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to direct staff to send a letter to the members of the Board of Supervisors recommending that they do not approve the Los Angeles County Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Service Authority. Councilmember Pycz seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**ITEM #6: DISCUSSION-
BEAUTIFICATION
COMMITTEE STATUS**

The City Council formed the Beautification Committee in 2008 in response to issues of Code Enforcement and Property Maintenance, to serve as an advisory body to the City Council on matters pertaining to the beautification of the City and to encourage residents and property owners to participate in beautification efforts and good property maintenance. The committee was originally designed to be composed of district representatives appointed by their respective councilmembers.

Over the past two years, the committee has only been able to meet a few times due to lack of quorum. Districts 3 and 5 never had any volunteers come forward and the District 1 volunteer stepped down. The current members are Ann Deren-Lewis from District 2 and Bill Gomez from District 4.

As a result of not having a quorum, the Beautification Committee hasn't had the opportunity to begin the Beautification awards or other programs that the City Council envisioned when it was created. However, they did participate in the Oak tree planting project.

Based on some recent conversations regarding volunteers assisting the City with trail upkeep and maintenance, an option the City Council might wish to consider to solve the quorum issue is for the Beautification Committee to become an at-large committee rather than a district-based committee. Some residents have expressed interest in the committee in already represented districts.

DISCUSSION:

Mayor Hale asked what the options available were. City Manager Keith stated they were to recruit for more members, make the committee an at large committee or disband it.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis stated that he would support making the committee at large. Councilmember Pycz agreed.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis stated that it might be appropriate to make the committee focus on areas along public streets once the committee begins their programs.

**PUBLIC COMMENT
OPENED:**

Mayor Hale opened the discussion for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

PUBLIC HEARING

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public

CLOSED: comment period closed.

**MOTION TO DIRECT STAFF
REGARDING THE
BEAUTIFICATION
COMMITTEE**

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis made a motion to change the representation of the Beautification Committee to an at-large Committee and request staff to recruit volunteers. Councilmember Lewis seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**ITEM #7: DISCUSSION-
BRADBURY CITY COUNCIL
REDISTRICTING 2011-
SELECTION OF SPECIAL
LEGAL COUNSEL AND
CONSIDERATION OF A
REDISTRICTING
COMMITTEE**

Federal and State election laws mandate that following every decennial census using that census as a basis so that the districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be possible, and to comply with the Voting Rights Act of 1965, the boundaries be adjusted in order to ensure that the population of each District is as equal as possible. Further, the Elections Code establishes that an adjusted boundary map (adjustments only i.e. not changing the total number of districts) be approved by the respective City Council via Ordinance by November 1, 2011.

Following the 2000 Census the City discovered that the population growth in Bradbury had shifted and grown dramatically and undertook an 18-month process to adopt new District boundaries and conducted a Special Census. The 2010 Census data is expected to be available for local agencies late March or early April 2011.

The City Council may also per the Election's Code "appoint a committee composed of residents of the city to study the matter of changing the boundaries of the council districts. The committee shall make its report to the council of its findings on the need for change of boundaries, and the recommended changes by the first day of August of the year following the year in which the decennial federal census is taken. Recommendations of the committee are advisory only."

At the request of the City Attorney, staff contacted Sandra J. Levin of Colantuono & Levin. PC for a proposal to serve as special counsel providing special advisory and litigation legal services related to the City's 2011 redistricting process. Ms. Levin handled the legal issues related to the process in the past and because of the continuing development of the area of law and complexity of possible legal issues, staff and the City Attorney are requesting to bring on outside counsel to support this process. Ms. Levin is familiar with the Bradbury community and its unique issues in this matter

The firm would be assigned as Special Counsel and the scope of work as outlined:

- Perform all normal and customary duties required of special redistricting counsel in connection with legal issues related to or arising from the City Redistricting activities.
- Advise staff as to procedures, legality of documents, policy concerns, and legal implications concerning the redistricting.
- Advise City regarding the requirements of State and Federal laws relevant to the redistricting.
- Assist City in negotiation, drafting and implementation of agreements, and related documents, required for the redistricting.
- Attend meetings of the City Council, the redistricting committee and relevant staff meetings upon request
- Prepare ordinances, resolutions, notices, pleadings, and other legal documents necessary for the redistricting.
- Provide representation in hearings, arbitration, mediation, and any related litigation arising out of the redistricting.

As mentioned previously, the City Council may consider the establishment of a Redistricting Committee. The Committee would be given a specific scope, tasks and a tight timeline to complete its work. The Committee would be subject to the Brown Act and all meetings would be noticed and open to the public. A draft timeline would look something like this:

- March 15th - Appointments to the Redistricting Committee by City Council
- Late March early April - first meeting of the Committee to establish meeting schedule, review mapping tools, special census questions and outreach
- March - Receive Census Data
- April/May – review population data from the Census and map boundaries
- May/June – conduct special census if needed
- July – present findings to the City Council
- August – First reading of Ordinance by the City Council adopting the map
- September – Second reading of Ordinance by the City Council adopting the map
- October – boundary map takes effect prior to the November 1, 2011 deadline

DISCUSSION:

Councilmember Barakat stated that the City doesn't need a Special Counsel and that city staff can do the work by themselves.

Councilmember Lathrop asked what would have happened if the City "got caught" during the redistricting period in 2006. City Manager Keith stated that the City would have become an at-large elected city.

City Manager Keith stated that staff has questions already regarding conducting a special census that is beyond staff's area of expertise and the people who know the answers are those who provide consulting services to cities.

Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis stated that if the City Council wants to keep the

districts, the work needs to be done with Special Counsel.

Councilmember Pycz asked why we are paying the Special Counsel for work they haven't completed yet. Councilmember Lathrop stated that the City Council isn't paying anything yet, the contract they are authorizing is a "not-to exceed" contract.

Mayor Hale added that the City Council can direct staff to not contact Special Counsel until the Census Data is received so that money can be saved. City Manager Keith stated that she would have to meet with the Special Counsel for a few hours before the numbers are released so information can be obtained on how to conduct a special census if need be.

**PUBLIC COMMENT
OPENED:**

Mayor Hale opened the discussion for public comment and invited those wishing to speak to come forward and be heard

PUBLIC COMMENT:

None

**PUBLIC HEARING
CLOSED:**

There being no public testimony, Mayor Hale declared the public comment period closed.

**MOTION TO APPROVE
SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR
REDISTRICTING:**

Councilmember Barakat made a motion to approve the proposal to provide special legal services related to the City's 2011 redistricting process by Colantuono and Levin and authorize the City Manager to enter into a contract with the firm for redistricting services. Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis seconded the motion which passed by the following roll-call vote:

AYES: Mayor Hale, Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis, Councilmembers Lathrop, Pycz and Barakat

NOES: None

ABSENT: None

ABSTAIN: None

Motion Passed 5-0

**MATTERS FROM THE
CITY MANAGER:**

City Manager Keith reminded the City Council that staff will have a furlough day on March 4th. Also at the March City Council meeting there will be a closed session item for the City Manager Evaluation.

As a reminder, all Councilmembers have to complete their AB 1234 ethics training. When completed, please provide a copy of the certificate to the City Clerk.

MATTERS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL:

MAYOR HALE:

Remarked that the City Hall project is moving along great. Also added that there is a bench being added to the Civic Center by the Community room entrance on behalf of the Chadwicks, one of the founding members of the City and member of the first City Council meeting.

MAYOR PRO-TEM LEWIS: Mayor Pro-Tem Lewis reported that the League of California Cities Los Angeles Division meeting will be on Wednesday.

COUNCILMEMBER LATHROP: Stated that the Oversight Committee for the recently approved bond measure is being formed and accepting applications. If interested, please contact the Superintendent's office. Also, the new Superintendent' will be attending the March City Council meeting presenting a State of the District.

COUNCILMAN PYCZ: Reported that he was concerned with the repair work of the Lemon Trail after the Agriculture Water Line Breakage a few weeks ago.

Staff reported that they will be working with Cal-Am to ensure that the work was done correctly and take appropriate action if they do not.

COUNCILMEMBER BARAKAT: Nothing to Report

ITEMS FOR FUTURE AGENDAS: None

ADJOURNMENT: At 8:03 pm Councilmember Barakat motioned to adjourn the meeting to a Regular Meeting at Bradbury City Hall, 600 Winston Avenue Bradbury, CA 91008 on Tuesday, March 15, 2011 at 7:00 p.m. The motion was seconded by Councilmember Pycz and carried unanimously.

MAYOR – CITY OF BRADBURY

ATTEST: _____
CITY CLERK – CITY OF BRADBURY